

# Minutes

---

<b>Meeting Name</b>	DCP 206 Working Group
<b>Meeting Number</b>	05
<b>Date</b>	19 August 2014
<b>Time</b>	10:00
<b>Location</b>	ElectraLink Ltd, 2-3 Golden Square, Ground Floor Grafton House, London W1F 9HR

---

<b>Attendee</b>	<b>Representing</b>
Franck Latremoliere [FL] (Chair)	Reckon LLP
Mike Attree [MA] (Teleconference)	ENWL
Steven Inglis [SI] (Teleconference)	Northern PowerGrid
Keith Burwell [KB]	Ofgem
Richard Ellis [RE] (Teleconference)	Western Power Distribution
Michael Walls [MW] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink Limited

## 1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 Apologies were received from Mo Sukumaran (SSE Distribution), Kathryn Evans (SP Power Distribution), Wendy Mantle (SP Power Distribution), Chris Ong (UKPN), Iain Morgan (Ofgem) and Glenn Sheern (E.on).
- 1.2 The minutes of the previous meeting were agreed without amendment.
- 1.3 The actions from the previous meeting, along with those opened and closed at this meeting are included as Appendix A.

## 2 COMPETITION LAW

- 2.1 The Working Group then reviewed the “CDCM Competition Law Dos and Don’ts” and all Working Group members agreed to the terms set out in the document.
- 2.2 It was noted to the Working Group members that the guidance is published on the DCUSA website with the meeting papers.

## 3 CONTINUATION OF THE REVIEW OF CONSULTATION RESPONSES

- 3.1 The Working Group then reviewed the consultation responses and the collated summary along with the Working Group’s comments are included as Attachment 1.

## 4 WORK PLAN AND NEXT STEPS

- 4.1 The Working Group then discussed the Work Plan and next steps for the DCP 206. Specifically, FL asked members present and on teleconference if they still felt that the CP should be considered within the EDCM Review Group. SI felt the CP should be considered within the wider review, and place this CP on hold pending the outcomes of that group. FL disagreed with this approach as it is not in our remit to do so under DCUSA Working Group membership, and also that the EDCM Review Group would not have the ability to consider the solutions fully or solve the problems.
- 4.2 It was then queried whether the next step for the CP would be to progress it to Change Report; FL confirmed that in his view that this would be the next step in the process – and the Working Group would need to have very good reasons to deviate from this process.
- 4.3 The Working Group then discussed whether there was enough evidence contained within the consultation responses to enable Ofgem to make a decision; collectively the Working Group felt that there was not enough.
- 4.4 FL then asked the group and the Ofgem representative what else could be collected in order to aid the decision. It was also asked whether the Change Report would likely be rejected by Ofgem, or sent back for additional information. KB could not confirm in advance what Ofgem's positions would be.
- 4.5 The Working Group agreed the following work plan:
- ElectraLink to email the questions from the consultation responses to the appropriate respondents
  - ElectraLink to send through the legal text to the DCUSA legal advisors
  - ElectraLink to draft the Change Report and send to the Working Group for comments
  - Schedule a teleconference to review all the documents listed above

## **5 ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

- 5.1 There were no other items of business raised at the meeting.

## **6 DATE/LOCATION OF NEXT MEETING**

- 6.1 ElectraLink will confirm a teleconference/webinar to review the documents contained within the Work Plan

**APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS****NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
04/01	ElectraLink to progress the CP through the Work Plan, and its associated actions, as agreed by the Working Group. Including following up on all actions by other WG members for the next meeting	ElectraLink	

**ACTIONS AGREED CLOSED AT THE MEETING**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update